Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 GENEVA 00471 01 OF 02 251125Z ACTION ORM-02

INFO OCT-01 IO-13 ISO-00 L-03 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-02 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-15 DHA-02 VO-03 SCA-01 INSE-00 SY-05 USSS-00 AF-08 ARA-10 EA-09 EUR-12 NEA-10 OIC-02 /134 W -------251149Z 035517 /13

R 251019Z JAN 77
FM USMISSION GENEVA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4723
INFO USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

UNCLAS SECTION 1 OF 2 GENEVA 0471

E.O. 11652: N/A
TAGS: SREF, UNHCR
SUBJECT: TERRITORIAL ASYLUM CONFERENCE - U.S. STATEMENT ON
ARTICLE 2

1. U.S. STATEMENT ON ARTICLE 2 IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE, MORNING JANUARY 24. WAS AS FOLLOWS.

QUOTE. MR. CHAIRMAN, THE UNITED STATES FINDS GENERALLY ACCEPTABLE THE TEXT OF ARTICLE 2 IN THE DRAFT CONVENTION APPROVED BY THE GROUP OF EXPERTS. WE FAVOR IN PARTICULAR THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA SET FORTH IN PARAGRAPH 1 OF ARTICLE 2. THEY ARE BROAD AND GENERAL YET COMPREHENSIVE IN THEIR COVERAGE OF POTENTIAL REASONS FOR PERSECUTION. I SHOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT ARTICLE 2 OF THE EXPERTS' TEXT IS PATTERNED AFTER THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA AND PROVISIONS OF THE 1951 REFUGEE CONVENTION.

MY DELEGATION HAS NOTED THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT OF ARTICLE 2, PARAGRAPH 1, SUB-PARAGRAPH (A) OF THE DISTINGUISHED DELEGATE OF THE USSR, AS CONTAINED IN DOCUMENT L.23. THIS WOULD ADD, IN VERY SPECIFIC TERMS, A NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES WITH PURPORTEDLY WOULD GIVE RISE TO REASONS FOR PERSECUTION. THE DELEGATE FROM CUBA, IN PARAGRAPH 1(A) OF DOCUMENT L.32, HAS SET FORTH MUCH UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 GENEVA 00471 01 OF 02 251125Z

THE SAME FORMULA. WE JOIN THE DISTINGUISHED DELEGAGE FROM AUSTRALIA IN HIS COMMENTS OF LAST WEEK IN OPPOSITION TO SUCH PROPOSED CHANGES. THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT BELIEVES STRONGLY THAT THE CITATION OF REASONS FOR POSSIBLE PERSECUTION AS CONTAINED IN THE EXPERTS' TEXT SHOULD REMAIN GENERAL IN NATURE. THEY HAVE SERVED THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY WELL FOR A QUARTER OF A CENTURY WITH NO CONSPICUOUS SHORTCOMINGS. ON THE OTHER HAND, TO ATTEMPT TO LIST

IN SPECIFIC TERMS THE VARIOUS TYPES OF REGIMES IN WHICH PERSECUTION COULD TAKE PLACE WOULD BE A FAR-REACHING AND A DANGEROUS EXERCISE. TO DO SO WOULD BE TO RUN THE RISK OF OMISSIONS WHICH MIGHT TEND TO EXCLUDE LARGE NUMBERS OF REFGUEES FROM COVERAGE. CERTAINLY, THE LISTS AS OFFERED IN THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS BY THE GOVERNMENTS OF THE USSR AND CUBA OMIT CONSPICUOUSLY CERTAIN TYPES OF REGIMES FROM WHICH, AS OUR AUSTRALIAN COLLEAGUE HAS NOTED, THERE HAVE BEEN VERY LARGE NUMBERS OF REFUGEES WHO WOULD NOT BE COVERED UNDER THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS CITED.

IN THIS CONNECTION I WOULD RECALL THAT THE UNITED STATES, IN ITS COMMENTS TO THE SECRETARY GENERAL ON THE GROUP OF EXPERTS' TEXT, STATED ITS CONCLUSION THAT THE REFERENCE TO "COLONIAL-ISM AND APARTHEID" IN ARTICLE 2(1) (A) SHOULD BE DELETED, SINCE THEY ARE ALREADY COVERED BY THE GENERAL FORMULATION IN THAT PARAGRAPH.

THE VERY SAME REASONS WHICH I HAVE JUST ADDUCED COMPEL US TO OPPOSE THE AMENDMENT OFFERED BY SOME 15 NATIONS, AS SET FORTH IN CONFERENCE DOCUMENT L.29/REV.1, WHICH ADDS THE WORDS "FOREIGN OCCUPATION, ALIEN DOMINATION AND ALL FORMS OF RACISM." SUCH AMENDMENTS IF ADOPTED WOULD POSE A DISTINCT HAZARD THAT THE CONVENTION COULD BE APPLIED IN A FAULTY AND PREJUDICIAL MANNER. TO THE EXTENT THAT THERE IS GENERAL AGREEMENT WITHIN THE UNITED NATIONS ON THE CONTENT OF THESE TERMS, THEY ARE ALREADY COVERED BY THE GENERAL FORMULATION. THESE AMENDMENTS WOULD ACCORDINGLY POSE A DISTINCT DANGER THAT GENERAL ACCEPTANCE OF THE CONVENTION WOULD NOT BE POSSIBLE.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 GENEVA 00471 01 OF 02 251125Z

AS FOR THE EXCLUSION PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 2, AS CONTAINED IN PARAGRAPH 2 OF THE EXPERTS' TEXT, THESE CRITERIA, TOO, HAVE STOOD THE TEST OF TIME. THE UNITED STATES, HOWEVER, IS RECEPTIVE TO THE PROPOSAL OF INDONESIA, MALAYSIA AND PHILLIPPINES, IN PARA-GRAPH 3 OF CONFERENCE DOCUMENT L.12, THAT A NEW PARAGRAPH BE ADDED UNDER WHICH THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH 1 OF ARTICLE 2 WOULD NOT APPLY TO ANY PERSON WHOSE PRESENCE IN THE TERRITORY OF A CONTRACTING STATE WHERE HE SEEKS ASYLUM WILL CONSTITUTE A THREAT OR DANGER TO THE SECURITY OF THAT STATE. THE UNITED STATES IS LIKEWISE SYMPATHETIC IN PRINCIPLE TO THE ISRAELI PROPOSED AMENDMENT OF ARTICLE 2 PARAGRAPH 2 AS CONTAINED IN CONFERENCE DOCUMENT L.40. WE ARE NOT WEDDED TO ANY SPECIFIC FORMULA, AND WE NOTE IN THIS CONNECTION THAT THE NETHERLANDS HAS PROPOSED VERY HELPFUL LANGUAGE IN CONFERENCE DOCUMENT L.46. IN ANY EVENT, THERE SHOULD BE NO DOUBT IN ANYBODY'S MIND THAT SUCH ACTIONS AS ARE COVERED BY THE ISRAELI AND NETHERLANDS TEXTS, CONSTITUTE CRIMES WHICH WOULD IN FACT BE SERIOUS COMMON OFFENSES UNDER THE LAWS AND REGULATIONS OF MOST CONTRACTING STATES GRANTING ASYLUM AND THAT THEY CANNOT IN ANY EVENT BE VIEWED AS PERMISSIBLE

POLITICAL ACTIONS. WE WOULD ADD TO THAT STATEMENT OUR VIEW THAT A GRANT OF ASYLUM UNDER THE CONVENTION DOES NOT DEBAR PROSECUTION BY THE GRANTING STATE OF AN ASYLEE FOR SUCH ACTS OVER WHICH IT HAS IURISDICTION

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 GENEVA 00471 02 OF 02 251136Z ACTION ORM-02

INFO OCT-01 IO-13 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-02 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-15 DHA-02 VO-03 SCA-01 INSE-00 SY-05 USSS-00 AF-08 ARA-10 EA-09 EUR-12 NEA-10 OIC-02 /134 W
------251150Z 035666/10

R 251019Z JAN 77 FM USMISSION GENEVA TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4724 INFO USMISSILN USUN NEW YORK

UNCLAS SECTION 2 OF 2 GENEVA 0471

THE UNITED STATES HAS NOTED THE PROPOSAL OF THE DISTINGUISHED DELEGATES OF CZCHOSLOVAKIA AND POLAND, IN CONFERENCE DOCUMENT).33 THAT PARAGRAPH 2(B) OF ARTICLE 2 BE AMENDED TO PROVIDE FOR THE EXCLUSION FROM ELIGIBILITY, INTER ALIA, OF A PERSON WHO HAS COMMITTED A SERIOUS COMMON OFFENSE UNDER THE LAWS AND REGULATIONS OF THE CONTRACTING STATE OF NATIONAL OR HABITUAL RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON SEEKING ASYLUM. A SIMILAR PROPOSAL WAS TABLED BY THE DELEGATE FROM CUBA UNDER PARAGRAPH 2(B) OF CONFERENCE DOCUMENT).32. MR. CHAIRMAN, SUCH A PROPOSAL IF IMPLEMENTED WOULD, I SUBMIT, DECIDEDLY BEG THE ISSUE IN THE CASE OF MANY REFUGEES. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF COUNTRIES WHOSE CRIMINAL LAWS AND REG-ULATIONS PROVIDE PELANTIES, OFTEN SEVERE, FOR PERSONS WHO DEPART THE COUNTRY WITHOUT PERMISSION AND FOR PERSONS WHO SEEK ASYLUM ABROAD, MY GOVERNMENT HOLDS THE FIRM BELIEF THAT REFUGEES. CONSISTENT WITH ARTICLE 14(1) OF THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS, HAVE THE RIGHT TO SEEK AND TO ENJOY ASYLUM IN OTHER COUNTRIES. SURELY, WE SHOULD NOT LEGISLATE AN ASYLUM TREATY WHICH COULD HAVE THE EFFECT IN MANY INSTANCES OF PENALIZING THE REQUEST FOR ASYLUM AS A POTENTIALLY CRIMINAL ACT.

I SHOULD LIKE BRIEFLY TO ENDORSE SEVERAL OTHER PROPOSALS WHICH HAVE BEEN MADE. WE WOULD CONCUR WITH THE PROPOSAL OF ECUADOR, GUATEMALA AND MEXICO, IN PARAGRAPH 3 OF DOCUMENT L.35, TO ADD A UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 GENEVA 00471 02 OF 02 251136Z

PARAGRAPH PROVIDING THAT IT SHOULD BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE STATE ASYLUM TO ASSESS THE MATTER OF OFFENSES OR THE REASONS FOR PERSECUTION. ALTHOUGH WE BELIEVE THAT THIS FACTOR IS AL-READY COVERED IN EFFECT BY THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 9 OF THE EXPERTS' TEXT, WE WOULD CONCUR IN THE ADDITION OF THIS FORMULATION AS A HELPFUL MEASURE. THE DISTINGUISHED DELEGATION OF THE UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND, IN DOCUMENT L.37, HAS SUGGESTED THAT IN PARAGRAPH 1(B) OF ARTICLE 2 THE WORD "ACTS" SHOULD BE REPLACED BY "REASONS." WE APPROVE OF THIS CHANGE. THE UK DELEGATION HAS ALSO, IN CONFERENCE DOCUMENT L.39, PROPOSED THAT IN ARTICLE 2, PARAGRAPH 2(B), THE WORDS "COMMON OFFENSE" BE REPLACED BY "NON-POLITICAL OFFENSE." WE WOULD CONCUR IN THIS ALSO, AND WOULD SUGGEST THAT IT COULD BE STRENGTHENED FURTHER BY AMENDING THE LANGUAGE SO THAT INSTEAD OF READING "A SERIOUS NON-POLITICAL OFFENSE", AS IT WOULD IN THE UNITED KINGDOM PROPOSAL. THAT THE LANGUAGE SHOULD READ "A SERIOUS NON-POLITICAL CRIME." THIS WOULD BE SONSISTENW TIH ARTICLE 1.F.(B) OF THE 1951 REFUGEE CONVENTION.

THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. END QUOTE.

2. STATEMENT CONSIDERABLY EDITED TO MEET TIME LIMITS IMPOSED BY CHAIRMAN THIS MORNING: 10 MINUTES ON FIRST STATEMENT, 5 MINUTES ON SUBSEQUENT STATEMENT. CHAIRMAN CUT OFF MICROPHONE OF USSR DELEGATE THIS MORNING AFTER HE EXCEEDED THE 5 MINUTED GRANTED HIM FOR RIGHT OF REPLY. THIS PLEASED SOME OF US. CATTO

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a **Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** Concepts: REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Sent Date: 25-Jan-1977 12:00:00 am Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am

Decaption Note: Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Approved on Date: Disposition Case Number: n/a Disposition Comment:

Disposition Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am Disposition Event:

Disposition Event:
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977GENEVA00471
Document Inique ID: 00

Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A

Expiration: Film Number: D770026-0756 Format: TEL

From: GENEVA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770122/aaaaasqn.tel

Line Count: 200 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Message ID: a9799ed1-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION ORM

Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a

Retention: 0 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags:

Review Date: 28-Sep-2004 12:00:00 am

Review Event: Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 3514149 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: TERRITORIAL ASYLUM CONFERENCE - U.S. STATEMENT ON ARTICLE 2

TAGS: SREF, SF, UNHCR

To: STATE Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/a9799ed1-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009